

REC'D 2	7 DEC 2001
WIPO	PCT

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

12

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO		cation of Transmittal of International		
71364-2		Preliminary	Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing date (da	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US00/14139	23 MAY 2000		07 JULY 1999		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): H02K 1/06, 1/34, 3/04, 33/18 and US Cl.: 310/13; 335/282					
Applicant ADH INTERNATIONAL					
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority. (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets. 					
		items:			
I					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report		
05 FEBRUARY 2001		10 OCTOBER	2001		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/N Commissioner of Patents and Traden Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231		uthorized officer	MIREZ 07 Deborah Farry-Lasper		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Т	elephone No. (703) 308 0115 Deborah Perny-Lesper		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)★

Paralegal Specialist Technology

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/14139

I. B	asis of the report	
I. With	regard to the elements of the international applica	tion:*
\mathbf{x}	the international application as originally f	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
=	the description:	1
X	pages 1-8	on originally filed
	pagesNONE	, as originally filed , filed with the demand
	pages NONE	, filed with the letter of, med with the demand
	F-8	
\mathbf{x}	the claims:	
	pages 9-11	, as originally filed
		, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages NONE filed	, filed with the demand
	pages NONE , filed	with the letter of
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	the drawings:	
L	1.2	, as originally filed
	pages NONE	, filed with the demand
	pages NONE	, filed with the letter of
x	the sequence listing part of the description:	
	pages NONE	, as originally filed , filed with the demand , filed with the letter of
	pages NONE	, filed with the demand
	pages NONE	_ , filed with the letter of
	the language of publication of the internation	onal application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	the language of the translation furnished for the proof 55.3).	urposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/
	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino ac liminary examination was carried out on the	id sequence disclosed in the international application, the international basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the international application in	
	filed together with the international applica	ation in computer readable form.
Ħ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in	computer readable form.
	The statement that the subsequently furnished international application as filed has been fur	d written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the mished.
	The statement that the information recorded in been furnished.	computer readable form is identical to the writen sequence listing has
4. X	The amendments have resulted in the cance	ellation of:
	X the description, pages NONE	
	X the claims, Nos. NONE	
	X the drawings, sheets/fig NONE	
5.	This report has been drawn as if (some of) the	amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go
* Repl	beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in taken the share share the share share as "originally filed" and are not a	the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to innexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.
and	<i>70.17</i>).	ents must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/14139

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	5, 7, 11-15 and 18	YES
		Claims	1-4, 6, 8-10, 16, 17 and 19	NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	13 and 18	YES
		Claims	1-12, 14-17 and 19	NO
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-19	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO

2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Stupak, Jr. Stupak, Jr. discloses a voice coil actuator comprising a coil 48 carried by an armature 46, and a magnet 30,32 located within a housing 34,36,38 with the armature axially movable relative to the housing with housing portions 36,38 being nonuniform. In figures 9 and 10, Stupak, Jr. discloses a coil having a single winding.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 8-10, 16, 17 and 19 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Sukagawa et al. Sukagawa et al. discloses a voice coil actuator comprising a radially movable coil carried by an armature and a magnet 33 located on a housing with a nonuniform magnet and a nonuniform housing.

Claim 5 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Stupak, Jr. in view of Suzuki et al. Stupak, Jr. discloses the actuator but does not disclose a magnet carried on an armature. Suzuki et al. teaches that an actuator can have either magnets or coils on the armature.

Claims 7, 11, 12, 14 and 15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Stupak, Jr. in view of Michl. Stupak, Jr. discloses the actuator but does not show tapering the coil. Michl teaches tapering the coil instead of the housing or magnet to make the actuator more linear. Figures 2 and 3 show discontinuously tapered coils with the coils not being tapered at each end.

Claims 5,7,11-15 and 18 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a voice coil actuator with a nonuniform drive means having the magnet carried by the rotor in a single reference. The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a tapered coil for a voice coil actuator in a single reference. The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a voice coil actuator with a nonuniform drive means having a magnet radially contained in the housing.

Claims 13 and 18 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3), (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)